

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

10/557520

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY
(Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 05522762WO01	FOR FURTHER ACTION		See item 4 below
International application No. PCT/JP2005/010900	International filing date (day/month/year) 08 June 2005 (08.06.2005)	Priority date (day/month/year) 08 June 2004 (08.06.2004)	
International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237			
Applicant CANON KABUSHIKI KAISHA			

1. This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis.1(a).

2. This REPORT consists of a total of 4 sheets, including this cover sheet.

In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.

3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:


- | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Box No. I | Basis of the report |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Box No. II | Priority |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Box No. III | Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Box No. IV | Lack of unity of invention |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Box No. V | Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Box No. VI | Certain documents cited |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Box No. VII | Certain defects in the international application |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Box No. VIII | Certain observations on the international application |

4. The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44bis .2).

	Date of issuance of this report 08 December 2006 (08.12.2006)
The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland	Authorized officer Yoshiko Kuwahara e-mail: pt07@wipo.int
Faxsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70	

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY



To:
YAMADA Ryuichi

TOKO International Patent
Office Hasegawa Bldg.4F
7-7, Toranomon 3-chome,
Minato-ku, Tokyo
105-0001 Japan

PCT

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1)

Date of mailing (day/month/year)	16. 8. 2005	
Applicant's or agent's file reference 05522762WO01		
International application No. PCT/JP2005/010900	International filing date (day/month/year) 08.06.2005	Priority date (day/month/year) 08.06.2004
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC Int.Cl.7 G06F3/03, 3/033, G02F1/1333, 1/167, G09G3/20, 3/34, 3/36		
Applicant CANON KABUSHIKI KAISHA		

1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:

- Box No. I Basis of the opinion
- Box No. II Priority
- Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
- Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention
- Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- Box No. VI Certain documents cited
- Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application
- Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

2. FURTHER ACTION

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Date of completion of this opinion 28.07.2005	
Name and mailing address of the ISA/JP Japan Patent Office 3-4-3, Kasumigaseki, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo 100-8915, Japan	
Authorized officer Takashi SHINOZUKA	5E 3579
Telephone No. +81-3-3581-1101 Ext. 3520	

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/JP2005/010900

Box No. I Basis of the opinion

1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
 This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language _____, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
 - a. type of material
 a sequence listing
 table(s) related to the sequence listing
 - b. format of material
 in written format
 in computer readable form
 - c. time of filing/furnishing
 contained in the international application as filed.
 filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
 furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3. In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4. Additional comments:

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY**

International application No.	PCT/JP2005/010900
-------------------------------	-------------------

Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
-----------	--

1. Statement

Novelty (N)	Claims	<u>1-9</u>	YES
	Claims	<u> </u>	NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	<u> </u>	YES
	Claims	<u>1-9</u>	NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	<u>1-9</u>	YES
	Claims	<u> </u>	NO

2. Citations and explanations

D1 : JP 10-49301 A (Sharp Kabushiki Kaisha) 1998.02.20,
whole document, Figs 1 to 9

D2 : EP 0676713 A2 (Wacom Co., Ltd.) 1995.10.11,
lines 29-55, page 17, Figs 14
& JP 7-281811 A & US 5646377 A

D3 : JP 60-122421 A (SEIKO INSTRUMENTS INC.) 1985.06.29,
whole document, Fig 2

D4 : EP 0582718 A1 (SEIKO INSTRUMENTS INC.) 1994.02.16,
line 35, page 14 to line 16, page 16, Fig 18
& JP 5-313811 A & US 5567920 A

D5 : JP 2003-196023 A (SONY CORPORATION) 2003.07.11,
Par. No. [0052]

[Claim 1-9]

The subject matter of claims 1-9 does not involve an inventive step over D1 in view of D2-D5 for the following reasons.

The subject matter of claims 1-4, 6 and 7 differs from the D1 in that the closed loop is a multiple loop.

D2 or D3 discloses a multiple loop coils that provide the improvement in sensitivity etc. than a single loop.

D4 discloses the first and the second multiple loop line that have an embedded structure in claim 5.

The subject matter of claims 8 and 9 is regarded as the selection of an electrophoretic display panel. However, as is disclosed in D5, it is generally known to the person skilled in the art.